Hamka v. The Detroit Edison Co., 88-ERA-26 (Sec'y Jan. 31, 1992)

Go to:<u>Law Library Directory</u> | <u>Whistleblower Collection Directory</u> | <u>Search Form</u> | <u>Citation Guidelines</u>

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## SECRETARY OF LABOR WASHINGTON, D.C.

DATE: January 31, 1992 CASE NO. 88-ERA-26

IN THE MATTER OF

JAAFAR HAMKA, COMPLAINANT,

V.

THE DETROIT EDISON COMPANY, RESPONDENT.

BEFORE: THE SECRETARY OF LABOR

## FINAL ORDER APPROVING SETTLEMENT

Before me for review is the Recommended Order of Dismissal (R.O.) of the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) in this case arising under the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended (ERA), 42 U.S.C. § 5851 (1988). In response to my December 9, 1991, order to Submit Attachments, Respondent has submitted copies of all requested documents

This settlement agreement encompasses matters arising under various laws, only one of which is the ERA. My authority over settlement agreements is limited to such statutes as are within my jurisdiction and is defined by the applicable statute. *See Goese v. Ebasco Services, Inc.*, Case No. 88-ERA-25, Sec. Order Approving Settlement and Dismissing Case, Dec. 8, 1988; *Poulos v. Ambassador Fuel Oil Co., Inc.*, Case No. 86-CAA-1, Sec. Order,

Nov. 2, 1987, and cases cited therein. Accordingly, I limit my review of the settlement agreement to determining whether its conditions are a fair, adequate and reasonable settlement of Complainant's allegations that Respondent violated the ERA.

Based on a review of the settlement agreement and all attachments referenced and incorporated therein, I find the terms of the settlement entered into by the parties to be fair, adequate and reasonable, and I approve it.

Accordingly, this case is dismissed with prejudice. See Stipulation of Dismissal.

SO ORDERED.

LYNN MARTIN
Secretary of Labor

Washington, D.C.